## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|
|   | 10/590,220      | SONG ET AL.  |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
|   | TOM Y. CHANG    | 2456         |  |

|   | TOM Y. CHANG   | 2456  |                      |  |  |
|---|--|---|----------------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the o  | orrespondence add                             | ress                 |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |  |   |                      |  |  |
| ☑ The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places I application in condition for allowance; (2) A Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) a Reque for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |  |   |                      |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing  | date of the final rejection.   |   |                      |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection                   | n.                   |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of   |  | 36(a) and the annronriat                      | e extension fee      |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate ex-<br>under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office actile set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL. |  |   |                      |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl   | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f  | iled within two months                        | s of the date of     |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |   |                      |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>   |  |   |                      |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b   |  |   | cause                |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a)</li></ul>  |  | E below);                                     |                      |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or   |  | lucing or simplifying t                       | ne issues for        |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  | orresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.                                 |                      |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |   |                      |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>   |  | mpliant Amendment (I                          | PTOL-324).           |  |  |
| <ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>  |  |   |                      |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |  | •   |                      |  |  |
| 7. \( \subseteq  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \text{ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \[ \text{Claim(s) allowed:  |  | l be entered and an e                         | xplanation of        |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4. 6-10 12-26.</u><br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |   |                      |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |                      |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will no because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).   |  |   |                      |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se                                       | and/or appellant faile<br>e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a<br>). |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |   |                      |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                         | ce because:          |  |  |
| Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (     Note: See continuation sheet.  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |   |                      |  |  |
|   |  |   |                      |  |  |
|   | /Kevin Bates/  |   |                      |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456

The amendments require further search and consideration because the amendments recite the controller being a programmable logic chip which was not considered before by the examiner.